UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT  District of NEBRASKA  OUTPED  PROPRESSION OF NEBRASKA					
		Distri	ict of		
		UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		2008 MAR -6 PM 3: 41	
M	4R7	V.  RTHA LILIANO MIRANDA-SANDOVAL	ORDER Case	4:08MJ3006-ROK	
	In a	Defendant  n accordance with the Bail Reform Act 18 U.S.C. 8 3142(f), a deter	ntion hearing has	heen held. I conclude that the following facts require the	
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.					
Part I—Findings of Fact					
	(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a ☐ federal offense ☐ state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed that is ☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). ☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death. ☐ an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in ☐				
	*				
	(3)	<ul> <li>a felony that was committed after the defendant had been comparable state or local offenses.</li> <li>The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the described of not more than five years has elapsed since the for the offense described in finding (1).</li> <li>Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find</li> </ul>	efendant was on r date of conviction	elease pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.  The release of the defendant from imprisonment or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the	
Х	(1)	1) There is probable cause to believe that the defen	9 ( )	mittad an offense	
	(1)	X for which a maximum term of imprisonment	of ten years	or 21 U.S.C. Sec. 801 et seq.	
X	(2)	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).  The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by fit the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the	nding 1 that no co	•	
			Findings (B)		
	<ul> <li>(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.</li> <li>(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.</li> </ul>				
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention  I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence a preponderance of the evidence that					
to tr reas Gov	ie ex onab ernn	Part III—Directions the defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or table opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On nament, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver to nection with a court proceeding.	is designated repre- being held in cus n order of a court the defendant to the	sentative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, tody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a of the United States or on request of an attorney for the The United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance atture of Judicial Office	
				G. Kopf, U.S.District Judge  d Title of Judicial Officer	

<sup>\*</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).